PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

26

27

28

 1. Congress enacted the FCRA to establish consumer rights to privacy over their credit and financial information and to ensure the "[a]ccuracy and fairness of credit reporting." FCRA provides several protections for consumers, including but not limited to the right to be notified of any negative/unfavorable information reported in their name and the right to dispute inaccurate, outdated and/or incomplete information on their credit file.

- 2. FCRA regulates credit reporting agencies as well as creditors, collection agencies and other parties who provide information to credit reporting agencies and/or obtain and use the consumer credit reports. FCRA Section 623, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2, imposes obligations on furnishers of information to the credit reporting agencies. Furnishers must report accurate information, correct and update erroneous information, and provide certain notices to consumer pertaining to furnished information.
- 3. CCRAA was implemented to protect the credit information of California consumers. CCRAA also regulates consumer credit reporting agencies and furnishers of information with respect to personal, credit and other financial information submitted and maintained in their credit file. CCRAA in California Civil Code §1785.25-1785.26 refrains furnishers of information from reporting information that they know or should have known was erroneous, and obligates furnishers to cease credit reporting of information disputed by consumers without notice of such dispute.
- 4. CCRAA provides consumers with the right to be informed of negative credit reporting and the right to dispute information in their credit reports, which they believe is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Consumers also have the right to bring civil action against violators of any provision of the CCRAA with respect to their rights and their credit, and to seek monetary damages. California Civil Code §1785.19 and §1785.31. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on behalf of another. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not

24 ²

 competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses [15 U.S.C. §1692(a)-(e)].

- 5. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of one violation. The Ninth Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of the "least sophisticated" consumer. [Baker v. G.C. Services Corp., 677 F.2d 775, 778 (9th Cir. 1982); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc. 869 F.2d 1222, 1227(9th Cir. 1988)]. This objective standard "ensure[s] that the FDCPA protects all consumers, the gullible as well as the shrewd ... the ignorant, the unthinking and the credulous." [Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F.2d 1314, 1318-19 (2nd Cir. 1993)].
- 6. To prohibit deceptive practices the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. §1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection letters and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(1)-(16).
- 7. To prohibit harassment and abuses by debt collectors the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. §1692d, provides that a debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of harassing and abusive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §1692d(1)-(6). Among these per se violations prohibited by that section are: any collection activities and the placement of telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity [15 U.S.C. §1692d(6)]
- 8. The FDCPA also prohibits, at 15 U.S.C. §1692c, without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, communication by a debt collector in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector.
- 9. The RFDCPA regulates collection agencies and original creditors attempting to collect debts on their own behalf. The California legislature has determined that the banking

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise their responsibility with fairness, honesty, and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1681p, California Civil 10. Code §1785.33, and 28 U.S.C. §1337.
 - 11. Supplemental jurisdiction rests upon 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 12. Venue is proper in this United States District Court, Central District of California because Defendants' violations alleged below occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and within this District.

II. PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION

- 13. FCRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o, create private right of action consumers can bring against violators of any provision of the FCRA with regards to their credit. In DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc., supra, the court confirmed that "[...] the plain language of [CRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §16810] provide a private right of action for a consumer against furnishers of information who have willfully or negligently failed to perform their duties upon notice of a dispute. [...] there is a private right of action for consumers to enforce the investigation and reporting duties imposed on furnishers of information."
- 14. Gorman v. MBNA America Bank, N.A., No. 06-17226 further established Private Remedy Against Furnishers by consumers and FCRA Section 1681s-2(b) triggers Defendants' furnisher's liability under this section, since Plaintiff made his initial disputes with the credit reporting agencies.

- 15. Cal. Civ. Code §1785.15(f) expressly states that consumers "have a right to bring civil action against anyone [...], who improperly obtains access to a file, knowingly or willfully misuses file data, or fails to correct inaccurate file data" concerning a consumer's credit report. Plaintiff has further right to action pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a), which states that Plaintiff as "any consumer who suffers damages as a result of a violation of this title by any person may bring an action in a court of appropriate jurisdiction against that person to recover the following."
- 16. Sanai v. Saltz, et al., 2009 Cal. App. LEXIS 83 (Cal. App. 2d Dist. Jan. 26, 2009) established that consumers may replead their FCRA claims as violations of the CCRAA and that the state claims are not preempted by FCRA. In further support, courts have uniformly rejected creditors' and consumer reporting agencies' arguments that the FCRA bars state law claims. See Sehl v. Safari Motor Coaches, Inc., 2001 U.S. Dist. Lexis 12638 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Cal. 2001)(for detailed discussion); Harper v. TRW, 881F. Supp. 294 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Mich. 1995); Rule v. Ford Receivables, 36 F. Supp.2d 335 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Va. 1999); Watkins v. Trans Union, 118 F. Supp.2d 1217 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Ala. 2000); Swecker v. Trans Union, 31 F. Supp.2d 536 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Va. 1998); Saia v. Universal Card Svc., 2000 U.S.Dist.Lexis 9494, 2000 Westlaw 863979 (U.S.D.C. E.D. La. 2000); Sherron v. Private Issue by Discover, 977 F. Supp.2d 804 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Miss. 1997); Hughes v. Fidelity Bank, 709 F. Supp.2d 639 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Pa. 1989).
- 17. 15 U.S.C §1692k(a) states that "... any debt collector who fails to comply with any provision of this title with respect to any person is liable to such person in an amount equal to the sum of-."
- 18. Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(a) states that "any debt collector who violates this title with respect to any debtor shall be liable to that debtor only in an individual action..."

III. THE PARTIES

- 19. Plaintiff SARGIS AKILYAN is a natural person residing in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 20. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (c) and California Civil Code §1785.3(b).

- 21. Defendant CAPITAL ONE N.A. ("CAPITAL") is a National Association.
- 22. Defendant HSBC BANK USA N.A. ("CHASE") is a National Association.
- 23. Defendant US BANCORP ("US") is a Delaware Corporation.
- 24. Defendant PASADENA SERVICE FCU ("FCU") is a California Corporation.
- 25. Defendants and each one of them is a person as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (b) and California Civil Code §1785.3(j).
- 26. Defendants and each one of them is a furnisher of information within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §1681-s2.
- 27. Defendants are each a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a (6) and California Civil Code §1788.2(c).
- 28. Defendants acted through their agents, employees, directors, contractors and other authorized parties acting within the course and scope of their employment or agency and under the direct supervision and control of each respective Defendant.

IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 29. In or around March 28, 2011 Plaintiff, who is not a minor, checked his credit report from the three national credit reporting agencies, Experian, Equifax and Transunion (collectively "CRAs"), and discovered multiple credit accounts reported by Defendants CAPITAL (Account#517805832963...), HSBC (Account#515593009672...), US (Account#4639...) and FCU (Account#3600300...) in negative status. These credit accounts were unfamiliar to Plaintiff, as he did not recall ever opening them and he was never informed by the furnishers of their negative credit reporting.
- 30. On or about March 28, 2011 Plaintiff contacted the CRAs and disputed the unknown credit accounts reported by the Defendants identified in paragraph 29, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b). On or about October 11, 2011, following his credit bureau disputes, Plaintiff sent letters to these Defendants, in which he requested documentation substantiating the existence, ownership and accuracy of the accounts reported by each of them, otherwise their immediate and permanent deletion from his credit file.
- 31. Upon receipt of Plaintiff's disputes, Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU, each continued to report the disputed accounts to the CRAs, without notice that the accounts had

been disputed by Plaintiff, all throughout the investigation period and thereafter. They further failed to properly address Plaintiff's direct disputes with them and failed to provide for his requested verification for the disputed accounts.

- 32. On February 07, 2012 and March 05, 2012 Plaintiff followed up with Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU and each one of them on multiple occasions, resubmitting his requests and demanding deletion of the unverified accounts due to lack of verification, but each of his correspondences went unanswered or were improperly handled and responded to.
- 33. In or about March, 2011 Plaintiff consulted with attorneys and credit professionals and retained an agent to communicate with Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU on his behalf regarding his disputes. At the time, during a credit review of his updated credit records, Plaintiff also learned that Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU had reported a charged off accounts to his credit file, without prior notice of the debts or of the negative credit reporting.
- 34. In or around March 28, 2011 Plaintiff contacted the CRAs with dispute of the accounts reported by CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU as not his, since he did not agree with their alleged debts. Following his submission of a credit dispute of the CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU, Plaintiff contacted Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU through his agent with a Power of Attorney and also sent in a debt/account verification/validation to CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU. Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU failed to answer to Plaintiff's debt/account verification/validation requests, failed to cease collection activity and credit reporting upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute, and failed to inform the CRAs that the accounts were in dispute.
- 35. Plaintiff sent multiple further follow ups to Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU, both directly from his and through his agent, but all of his correspondences proved unsuccessful in attaining Defendants' cooperation.
- 36. Regardless of Plaintiff's attempts to seek Defendants' cooperation, Defendants and each one of them continuously failed to answer back to Plaintiff with appropriate proof of investigation and verification of the information in dispute and failed to take corrective action.
- 37. To date Defendants continue to report the unverified accounts on Plaintiff's credit records.
 - 38. The inaccurate accounts negatively reflect upon Plaintiff as a consumer,

7

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

2324

25 26

27

28

borrower/debtor and they negatively impact his creditworthiness and financial standing.

- 39. Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional distress as a result of Defendants' violations, which include but are not limited by the following:
- a. Actual damages arising from monetary losses relating to denials to new credit, loss of use of funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities, excessive and/or elevated interest rate and finance charges;
- b. Out of pocket expenses associated with communicating with Defendants, disputing the credit information, and fees paid to attorneys and credit professionals for the assistance attained in the process;
- c. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having derogatory credit information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown;
- d. Decreased credit score and creditworthiness, which may result in inability to obtain credit, employment or housing on future attempts.

COUNT I

Violations of FCRA

Against All Defendants

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 41. Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU knowingly and willfully violated the FCRA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to the following:
- a. Furnishing inaccurate/unverified information to the CRAs in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a);
- b. Failing to inform Plaintiff about negative credit reporting made on his credit report, prior to or within five (5) days of furnishing a collection account to the CRAs, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(7)(A);
- c. Failing to conduct proper investigation of disputed information upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(8)(E);
- d. Verifying disputed accounts with the CRAs prior to conducting thorough investigation and without having substantiating support for such verification;
 - e. Reporting disputed information to the CRAs, without notice of Plaintiff's dispute,

FDCPA by:

23

24

25

26

27

28

Failing to provide dunning notice of debt and a written notice of Plaintiff's right to request validation of debt along with information about the alleged debt, including the name and contact information of the original creditor pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a);

- b) Failing to cease collection activity and continuing to make collection efforts on the alleged debt without properly responding to Plaintiff's validation request pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);
 - c) Failing to properly validate the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);
- d) Using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e;
- e) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f.

COUNT IV

Violations of RFDCPA

Against Defendants

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 47. Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU knowingly and willfully violated RFDCPA by:
- a) Using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect debts pursuant to Civil Code §1788.17;
- b) Using unfair and/or unconscionable means to collect alleged debts pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.17.

COUNT V

Declaratory Relief

Against All Defendants

- 48. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 49. Plaintiff requests judgment in his favor and against the Defendants for:
- 1. Actual damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(A) against all Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU; and per 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1) and/or Civil Code §1788.30(a) against Defendants CAPITAL,

HSBC, US and FCU, inclusive.

- 2. Statutory damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.19(a) against all Defendants; and per 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2) and/or Civil Code §1788.30(b) against Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU, inclusive.
- 3. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (c) and/or Code of Civil Procedure §490.020 against all Defendants; and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3), and/or Civil Code §1788.30(c) against Defendants CAPITAL, HSBC, US and FCU, inclusive.
- 4. Punitive damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(2) and Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(B), as the court may allow.
- 5. Injunctive relief, per Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(b), ordering Defendants to delete the reporting of the unverified accounts.
- 6. Declaratory relief, which is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202.
- 7. Any other relief as this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

DATED: August 24, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN,

Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: August 24, 2012

SARGIS AKILYAN, Plaintiff

Case 2:12-cv-07588-JAK-PJW Document 1 Filed 09/05/12 Page 13 of 17 Page ID #:15 ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) TEL. 818-532-9339 OMNIA LEGAL, INC 124 W STOCKER ST STE B GLENDALE CA 91202 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SARGIS AKILYAN, an individual CASE NUMBER CV12-07588 PAK IPINX PLAINTIFF(S) CAPITAL ONE N.A., A National Association (See Attached for Additional Defendants) **SUMMONS** DEFENDANT(S). TO: DEFENDANT(S): CAPITAL ONE N.A. (See attached for additional Defendants) A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN , whose address is 124 W STOCKER ST STE B, GLENDALE, CA 91202 . If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. SEP -5 2012 Clerk, U.S. District Court **JULIE PRADO** Dated: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court) [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

27

28

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370)
OMNIA LEGAL, INC.
124 W STOCKER ST STE B
GLENDALE, CA 91202
T. 818-532-9339
F. 818-394-6452
EMAIL: DISPUTES@OMNIALEGAL.ORG

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SARGIS AKILYAN, an individual,
Plaintiff,
vs.
CAPITAL ONE N.A., A National Association
HSBC BANK USA N.A., A National
Association
US BANCORP, A Delaware Corporation
PASADENA SERVICE FEDERAL CREDIT
UNION, A California Corporation.

Defendants.

CASE NO.:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

- 1) VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT;
- 2) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT;
- VIOLASATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT;
- 4) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT;
- 5) DECLARATORY RELIEF.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

This action is brought by Plaintiff SARGIS AKILYAN ("Plaintiff") by and through his attorney Arshak Bartoumian against all named Defendants for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.] ("FCRA") and California's Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act [California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.] ("CCRAA"), and for violations of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [15 U.S.C. §1692-1692p] ("FDCPA"), California's Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [California Civil Code §1788 et seq.] ("RFDCPA") against select Defendants.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge John Kronstadt and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Patrick J. Walsh.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 7588 JAK (PJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

	•	
Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:12-cv-07588-JAK-PJW Document 1 Filed 09/05/12 Page 16 of 17 Page ID #:18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) SARGIS AKILYAN (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				DEFENDANTS CAITAL ONE N.A. HSBC BANK USA N.A. US BANCORP and PASADENA SERVICE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION							
				representing	ting Attorneys (If Known)						
ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370): TEL. 818-532-9339 OMNIA LEGAL, INC 124 W STOCKER ST STE B, GLENDALE, CA 91202									.*		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Plac	ee an X in one box only.)	•	III. CITIZENS	SHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL P	ARTIES -	For Diversity Case	s Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	ď	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part		Citizen of This S		_	PTF DEE	7		PTF	DEF
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendar	ıt 🗆	4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	zenship	Citizen of Anoth	ner State		□2 □2		i Principal Place	□ 5	□5
				Citizen or Subje	ct of a For	eign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	e box o	only.)									
Proceeding State C	ourt	☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	Re	eopened				Dist	rict Judi	cal to D ge from distrate .	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 🗹	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in con	ıplaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	□Ycs ≝No		ď∧	MONEY E	EMANDED I	N COMPL	AINT: \$ Not Yet	Asserted		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U	S. Civil Statute under whi	ich you a	are filing and writ	te a brief st	tatement of cau	se. Do not	cite jurisdictional s	atutes unless div	ersity.)	
15 U.S.C. SEC. 1681, CAI	CIV	ODE 1785									
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X	in one box only.)			·			e jar			
☐ 400 State Reapportionment		GONIUL/AGS Insurance		iiooniis Ksonkaleiniiojea		elekter Evangerieri		GRESCHER PRECEDIGES	□ 710 Fair La		adards
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		Marine Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Product		PROPERTY Other Fraud	□ 510	Motions to	Act		
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	□ 140	Negotiable Instrument		Liability	□ 371	Truth in Lend	ling	Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	□ 720 Labor/I Relatio		· .
Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation	□ 150	Recovery of	320	Assault, Libel & Slander		Other Person	al= 🗆 530	General	□ 730 Labor/I	_	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced		Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	IT 385	Property Dan Property Dan		Death Penalty Mandamus/	Reporti Disclos		
and Corrupt		Judgment	240	Liability		Product Liabi	lity	Other	□ 740 Railwa		
Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Marine Product		ARKSROUPES X		Civil Rights	□ 790 Other 1		
□ 490 Cable/Sat TV	□ 132	Student Loan (Excl.		Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 US 158		Prison Condition Prison Condition	Litigati		
□ 810 Selective Service		Veterans)		Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal 2		(DEAN/ALT)	□ 791 Empl. I Securit		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	□ 153		333	Product Liability		USC 157	□ 610	Agriculture	ाधार्वाधारमः	Chalcas	118
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12		Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Personal		Voting	620	Other Food & Drug	 □ 820. Copyrig □ 830 Patent 	this	
USC 3410		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Injury Personal Injury-		Employment	□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Tradem	ark .	
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Act	□ 190 □ 105	Other Contract Contract Product		Med Malpractice	, □ 443	Housing/Acc	o-	Seizure of	3000AVE 0		6 7.5%
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	□ 196	Liability Franchise		Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Persona		mmodations Welfare American wit	. 1	Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws	□ 861 HIA (1) □ 862 Black I □ 863 DIWC/	ung (92	23)
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		ABANE DE ROTTURATA		Injury Product	1	Disabilities -	□ 640	R.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
		Land Condemnation Foreclosure	S OF N	Liability	□ 446	Employment American wit		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T □ 865 RSI (40		ı
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	□ 230	Rent Lease & Ejectment		Naturalization	T10	Disabilities -	_ _ 000	Occupational Safety /Health	□ 805 KS1 (40		iil (
nation Under Equal Access to Justice		Torts to Land	T1463	Application Habcas Corpus-		Other	□ 690	Other	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Pla	
□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		All Other Real Property	1	Alien Detainee Other Immigratio Actions		Other Civil Rights			or Defe □ 871 IRS-Th USC 76	ird Part	y 26
						·					
				0114	2		00	·			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case N	umber:		U V d	Access .	U / 2					•

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

*Case 2:12-cv-07588-JAK-PJW Document 1 Filed 09/05/12 Page 17 of 17 Page ID #:19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: 1 If yes, list case number(s):	Has this action been p	reviously filed in this court a	and dismissed, remanded or closed? ≝No □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Ha	ave any cases been pi	reviously filed in this court th	at are related to the present case. In UYes			
(Check all boxes that apply)	elated if a previously filed case and the present case: y) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing to (a) List the County in this District Clark have if the	t; California County	outside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.			
Check here if the government County in this District:*	, its agencies or empl	oyees is a named plaintiff. If	f this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in an District:			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country VARIOUS			
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS			
(b) List the County in this District Check here if the government,	; California County o its agencies or empl	outside of this District; State in	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*	• •		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS			
Note: In land condemnation	; California County c cases, use the locati	outside of this District; State i on of the tract of land invol-	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berns Note: In land condemnation cases, t	ardino, Riverside, Y use the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PRO PER)	WWW Sa	Date 08/24/2012			
or omer papers as required by la	w. This form, approv	red by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to S	ocial Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	on Substantive Statement of Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				